Combining spatial data derived from conventional research protocols and social media platforms A story of two dolphin species

Sara Martino¹

Department of Mathematical Science (NTNU)

 $^{^1{\}rm Giovanna}$ Jona Lasinio, Daniela Silvia Pace, et al

Statistical Tools

Modeling the intensity

Results

▶ Goal: Understand the spatial distribution of wild species





- ▶ Goal: Understand the spatial distribution of wild species
- ▶ How: Traditional data sources \longrightarrow go out and search for dolphins!!





- ▶ Goal: Understand the spatial distribution of wild species
- ▶ How: Traditional data sources \longrightarrow go out and search for dolphins!!



▶ The observation process introduces a bias...

- ▶ Goal: Understand the spatial distribution of wild species
- ▶ How: Traditional data sources \longrightarrow go out and search for dolphins!!



- ▶ The observation process introduces a bias...
 - ▶ We know the searching protocol...

- ▶ Goal: Understand the spatial distribution of wild species
- ▶ How: Traditional data sources \longrightarrow go out and search for dolphins!!
- ▶ The observation process introduces a bias...
 - ▶ We know the searching protocol...
 - ..we can correct for such bias



- ▶ Goal: Understand the spatial distribution of wild species
- ► How: Traditional data sources → go out and search for dolphins!!
- ▶ The observation process introduces a bias...
 - ▶ We know the searching protocol...
 - ..we can correct for such bias
- ▶ There are more data available....could we use them?

▶ Many people are out in the sea with leisure boats

Many people are out in the sea with leisure boats
People like to take pictures of dolphins if they spot one...such pictures are often put on social media...

- ▶ Many people are out in the sea with leisure boats
- People like to take pictures of dolphins if they spot one...such pictures are often put on social media...
- ▶ This can be a valuable source of data



- ▶ Many people are out in the sea with leisure boats
- People like to take pictures of dolphins if they spot one...such pictures are often put on social media...
- ▶ This can be a valuable source of data



▶ ... but there is no "searching protocol"

- ▶ Many people are out in the sea with leisure boats
- People like to take pictures of dolphins if they spot one...such pictures are often put on social media...
- ▶ This can be a valuable source of data



... but there is no "searching protocol"How can we correct for the bias?



- ▶ All our data are presence-only
- ▶ We want to merge all data sources....
- ▶ ...accounting for each specific bias!

The Data

Data Type	Years	N.Campaigns	N.Sightings	N.Sightings
			Stenella	Tursiope
FERRY	2007-2018	311	133	16
UNIRM	2017-2019	73	14	98
Social	2008-2019	??	136	465

Notes:

- ▶ We have many "Social media" data
- ▶ We have both a "Spatial" and a "Temporal" bias!!

Observations



Statistical Tools

Statistical tools

▶ Log Gaussian Cox Processes (presence only data)

- ▶ SPDE representation of Gaussian fields
- ▶ Inference using INLA
- ▶ Thinned point process (observation bias)
 - Detection function
 - ▶ Needs more than just INLA \longrightarrow inlabru
- ▶ Joint modeling (merging of all data sources)
 - Easy with INLA+inlabru

Log Gaussian Cox Processes



We observe N points in the domain Ω.
Given the intensity λ(s) the likelihood is given by

$$\pi(Y|\lambda) = \exp\left\{|\Omega| - \int_{\Omega} \lambda(s) ds\right\} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \lambda(s_i)$$

▶ The log-intensity is a Gaussian process

 $\log(\lambda(s)) = Z(s)$

Not analytically tractable

Implementation - Grid discretization



Discretize the domain into a grid
N_{ij} = # of observation in cell (i, j)
N_{ij} ~ Pois(Λ_{ij}) where

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \int_{s_{ij}} \lambda(s) ds \approx |s_{ij}| \exp(z_{ij})$$

- ▶ Possible models for Z(s):
 - ▶ Continuous GF → dense covariance matrix
 - $\blacktriangleright \text{ GMRF} \longrightarrow \text{sparse covariance matrix}$
- The grid serves both to approximate the latent field and to approximate the likelihood

Implementation - SPDE Approach²



Constrained refined Delaunay triangulation

▶ Use the SPDE approach over a mesh to represent the GF

$$Z(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i \phi_i(s)$$

- ► Approximate the GF
- Do not need to approximate the observation location
- Efficient computationally
- ► Use INLA

²in Going off grid: Computationally efficient inference for log-Gaussian Cox Processes, Simpson et al 2011

Thinned point process



Thinned point process

- "True" intensity: $\lambda(s)$
- ► Thinned intensity $\lambda^*(s) = \lambda(s)g(s)$
 - g(s) is the thinning (detection) function
 - Unless g(s) is log-linear in all parameters the INLA framework does not work!
 - ▶ inlabru is an extention of INLA that allowes for non linear terms

Modeling the intensity

Modeling the intensity

▶ The "true" (unthinned) intensity:

$$\lambda(s,t) = \beta_0 + \beta^T X(s,t) + \sum_k f_k(x_k(s,t)) + u(s)$$

u(s) is a GRF with Matern correlation function
 could be spatio-temporal but would need more data!

▶ The observed intensity:

$$\lambda_j(s,t) = t_j \ \lambda(s,t) \ g_j(s); \qquad j = 1, \dots, 4$$

where

- $\triangleright \lambda(s,t)$ is the true density
- ▶ $g_j(s)$ is the thinning function for observation process j
- ▶ t_j is the time-scaling factor (this is known for all observations processes except for the social data!)

Accounting for spatial bias: detection functions For FERRY data

$$g_{\text{ferry}}(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{ferry}}^2}d(s)^2\right)$$

where d(s) is the perpendicular distance to the transect



Accounting for spatial bias: detection functions

▶ For FERRY data

$$g_{\text{ferry}}(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{ferry}}^2}d(s)^2\right)$$

where d(s) is the perpendicular distance to the transect

▶ For UNIRM data

$$g_{unirm}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } d(s) < K \\ 0 \text{ for } d(s) > K \end{cases}$$

Accounting for spatial bias: detection functions

▶ For FERRY data

$$g_{\text{ferry}}(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{ferry}}^2}d(s)^2\right)$$

where d(s) is the perpendicular distance to the transect

▶ For UNIRM data

$$g_{unirm}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } d(s) < K \\ 0 \text{ for } d(s) > K \end{cases}$$

Modeling spatial bias for social data

▶ We assume that the sightings are biased towards area where there are more leisure boats....

- ▶ Distance from the coastline
- Boat density data from EmodNET platform
- ▶ Use animal intensity as proxy for small boat intensiy

Modeling spatial bias for social data

- ▶ We assume that the sightings are biased towards area where there are more leisure boats....
- ▶ but we do not have data about that...
- ▶ Distance from the coastline
- Boat density data from EmodNET platform
- ▶ Use animal intensity as proxy for small boat intensiy

Modeling spatial bias for social data

- ▶ We assume that the sightings are biased towards area where there are more leisure boats....
- ▶ but we do not have data about that...
- ▶ Three different ideas:
- ▶ Distance from the coastline
- Boat density data from EmodNET platform
- ▶ Use animal intensity as proxy for small boat intensiy

Distance from the coastline



- Assume that the closer to the coast there are more small boats.... higher detection probability close to the coast
 - ▶ This in is not necessary true, people like islands
 - ▶ This is also a covariate often used to model species density

EMODnet data for boat density



- EmodNET (European Marine Observation and Data Network) records boats using AIS (Automatic Identification System, mandatory above 15m length)
- ▶ Detection probability is higher where boat intensity is higher
 - Does not consider small boats which are often those reporting sightings

Social data sightings for all species



- ▶ Use all sightings as a proxy for boat density
- Data include species with very different behavior
- ▶ Detection probability is higher where boat intensity is higher

Putting things togeter

► The "true" intensity:

$$\lambda(s) = \beta_0 + \beta X(s) + u(s);$$
$$u(s) \sim GRF(\rho, \sigma_u^2)$$

▶ The observed intensity:

$$\lambda_{FERRY}(s) = t_{FERRY} \ \lambda(s) \ g_{FERRY}(s);$$
$$\lambda_{UNIRM}(s) = t_{UNIRM} \ \lambda(s) \ g_{UNIRM}(s);$$
$$\lambda_{SOCIAL}(s) = t_{SOCIAL} \ \lambda(s) \ g_{SOCIAL}(s);$$

Four choices for $g_{SOCIAL}(s)$

- ▶ No (constant) detection $g_{SOCIAL}(s) = 1$ (benchmark)
- Detection based on distance from the coastline
- Detection based on boat intensity
- Detection based on sightings intensity

Is the model identifiable?

-Low sighings intensity can result from:

- ▶ There are no animals in the area
- ▶ There are no observer in the area
- ▶ How to solve this?
 - ▶ Gather information about the observation process
 - ▶ Use informative prior to "guide" inference

Prior for the parameters in the detection functions



Results

Reconstructed intensity surface (Stenella)



Reconstructed intensity surface (Tursiope)



Complex but very topical problem

Complex but very topical problem

▶ What can we do:

- Complex but very topical problem
- \blacktriangleright What can we do:
 - Model several data sources jointly

- Complex but very topical problem
- ▶ What can we do:
 - Model several data sources jointly
 - Correct for the bias induced by the observation process

- Complex but very topical problem
- ▶ What can we do:
 - Model several data sources jointly
 - Correct for the bias induced by the observation process
 - Recover known covariate effects

- Complex but very topical problem
- ▶ What can we do:
 - Model several data sources jointly
 - Correct for the bias induced by the observation process
 - Recover known covariate effects
 - Estimate intensity surface with associated uncertainty

- Complex but very topical problem
- ▶ What can we do:
 - Model several data sources jointly
 - Correct for the bias induced by the observation process
 - Recover known covariate effects
 - Estimate intensity surface with associated uncertainty
- ► INLA + inlabru give a huge model flexibility....with great power comes great responsibility!!!